

N-BUNDLES FOR N AN EXTENSION OF A FINITE GROUP BY AN ABELIAN GROUP

YASHONIDHI PANDEY

ABSTRACT. Let W be a finite group and T be an abelian group. Consider an extension $0 \rightarrow T \rightarrow N \rightarrow W \rightarrow 0$. For a smooth projective curve X , we give a precise description of the fiber of the quotient by T map $q_T : \mathcal{M}_X(N) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_X(W)$ as a torsor over an abelian variety. We also prove a result on Mumford groups.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let T be an arbitrary abelian group and W be an arbitrary finite group. We fix an action $\sigma : W \rightarrow \text{Aut}(T)$.

Let $\pi : Z \rightarrow X$ be an étale Galois cover of smooth projective curves of Galois group a finite group W . Let E_T be a T -bundle on Z . Combining the pull-back action of W on Z and the action σ on the fibers, we have the twisted action of W on T -bundles on Z defined as $(w, E) \mapsto w^*E \times_w T$ where $\sigma(w) : T \rightarrow T$ is the understood extension of structure group from T to itself. The action of W on Z does not in general lift to E_T , however on W -invariant principal T -bundles on Z , we can define a Mumford group parametrising the 2-uples (w, γ) where γ is an isomorphism between E and wE of T -bundles of Z .

The second main result of this paper is the Proposition 3.1 on Mumford groups.

Proposition 1.1. *Let $\pi : Z \rightarrow X$ be an étale Galois cover of smooth projective curves with Galois group a finite group W . We have a homomorphism of groups*

$$\begin{aligned} c : H^1(Z, \underline{T})^W &\rightarrow H^2(W, T) \\ E_T &\mapsto [0 \rightarrow T \rightarrow \mathcal{G}^\sigma(E_T) \rightarrow W \rightarrow 0] \end{aligned}$$

Let η denote the class of an extension $[0 \rightarrow T \rightarrow N \rightarrow W \rightarrow 0] \in H^2(W, T)$.

The main theorem 4.7 of this paper can be described as follows.

Theorem 1.2. *The fiber of the quotient by T map $q_T : \mathcal{M}_X(N) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_X(W)$ over $\pi : Z \rightarrow X$ is $H^1(Z, \underline{T})_\eta^W$.*

We give applications of these results by taking N to be the dihedral group, the Weyl group of type B_n , C_n and D_n to describe the set of N -bundles $\mathcal{M}_X(N)$ on a curve X .

Much of these results were obtained to study the Weyl group, the torus and the Lie group in the context of abelianisation. We thus use suggestively the notation W for an arbitrary finite group and T for a finite abelian group.

I wish to thank my advisor Christian Pauly for his advices and help in the preperation of this paper and my thesis.

2. PRINCIPAL N -BUNDLES: NOTATION AND KNOWN RESULTS

Let T be an arbitrary abelian group and W be an arbitrary finite group. We fix an action $\sigma : W \rightarrow \text{Aut}(T)$. Let N be an arbitrary extension

$$0 \rightarrow T \rightarrow N \rightarrow W \rightarrow 0$$

inducing the action σ .

Definition 2.1. For $w \in W$ and a T -bundle E we denote by $E \times_w T$ the quotient of $E \times T$ by the relation

$$(et, t') \sim (e, w(t)t').$$

and call it the extension of structure group of E by w . We call the following map the evaluation morphism

$$\begin{aligned} \nu_w : E_T \times_w T &\rightarrow E_T \\ \overline{(e, t)} &\mapsto ew^{-1}(t) \end{aligned}$$

Definition 2.2. We define the twisted action of W on T -bundles on Z

$$\begin{aligned} W \times H^1(Z, T) &\rightarrow H^1(Z, T) \\ (w, E) &\mapsto w^*E \times_w T \end{aligned}$$

Notice that pull-back by w and extension of structure group by w commute with each other. We shall denote the twisted action of w on E as $w.E$.

For the rest of this section let E_T denote a T -bundle on Z invariant under the twisted action of W .

Definition 2.3. We define for E_T the Mumford group as follows

$$\mathcal{G}^\sigma(E) = \{(w, \gamma) | \gamma : E \rightarrow w.E\}$$

We define the composition $(w_1, \gamma_1) \circ (w_2, \gamma_2)$ as $(w_1 \circ w_2, w_1(\gamma_2)\gamma_1)$ where $w_1(\gamma_2) = w_1^*\gamma_2 \times_{w_1} \gamma_1$.

Since E and $w.E$ are principal T -bundles and T is abelian, so the set of isomorphisms between them is a torsor on T and we have $\text{Aut}_T(E) = T$. Thus we can talk of a Mumford group (instead of a sheaf of groups).

Definition 2.4. For $E_T \in H^1(Z, T)^W$, we define a right action of $\mathcal{G}^\sigma(E)$ on E_T as follows: for $(w, \gamma) = n \in \mathcal{G}^\sigma(E)$, we define μ_n as the composition of morphisms

$$(1) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} E_T & \xrightarrow{\gamma} & w^*E_T \times_w T & \longrightarrow & E_T \times_w T & \xrightarrow{\nu_w} & E_T \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ & & Z & \xrightarrow{w} & Z & & \end{array}$$

For ease of notation we will denote the Mumford group $\mathcal{G}^\sigma(E)$ of E_T by N .

Remark 2.5. It follows directly (cf. [1] [Prop 6.3]) that this action extends the action of T on E_T and lifts the action of W on Z over X .

Consider the following action:

$$\Psi_{E_T} : \frac{(E \times_T N) \times N}{((e, n'), n)} \longrightarrow \frac{E \times_T N}{(\mu_n(e), n^{-1}n')}$$

Remark 2.6. It is proved in [1] Prop 6.6 that $E_N := E_T \times^T N$ admits a canonical W -linearisation induced from E_T for T a torus. But the same proof works for T an arbitrary abelian group.

We denote the linearisation by Φ_{E_T} .

3. A RESULT ON MUMFORD GROUP

Proposition 3.1. Let $\pi : Z \rightarrow X$ be an étale Galois cover of smooth projective curves with Galois group a finite group W . We have a homomorphism of groups

$$c : \begin{array}{ccc} H^1(Z, \underline{T})^W & \rightarrow & H^2(W, T) \\ E_T & \mapsto & [0 \rightarrow T \rightarrow \mathcal{G}^\sigma(E_T) \rightarrow W \rightarrow 0] \end{array}$$

This Proposition is the Proposition 7.1 in [1].

Proof. We have two left exact functors

$$(1) \quad \Gamma_Z : \{\text{Sheaves of } W\text{-modules on } Z\} \rightarrow \{W\text{-modules}\}$$

$$\underline{A} \rightarrow H^0(Z, \underline{A})$$

$$(2) \quad \Gamma^W : \{W\text{-modules}\} \rightarrow \{\text{abelian groups}\} \quad M \rightarrow M^W$$

We denote the composite functor as $\Gamma_Z^W = \Gamma^W \circ \Gamma_Z$ whose n -th derived fonctor is calculated by the Grothendieck spectral sequence of composite of two functors

$$E_2^{p,q} = H^p(W, H^q(Z, \underline{A})) \Rightarrow E^{p,q} = H^{p+q}(Z; W; \underline{A})$$

We have the following short exact sequence of low degree terms

$$0 \rightarrow E_2^{1,0} \rightarrow E^1 \rightarrow E_2^{0,1} \xrightarrow{c} E_2^{2,0} \rightarrow E^2$$

In the case when the group W acts upon T , this sequence becomes

$$0 \rightarrow H^1(W, T) \rightarrow H^1(Z; W; \underline{T}) \rightarrow H^1(Z, \underline{T})^W \xrightarrow{c} H^2(W, T) \rightarrow H^2(Z; W; \underline{T})$$

Let us fix a principal T -bundle $E_T \in H^1(Z, \underline{T})^W$. We associate to the extension

$$(2) \quad 0 \rightarrow T \rightarrow \mathcal{G}^\sigma(E_T) \xrightarrow{p} W \rightarrow 0$$

an element of $H^2(W, T)$ by taking a set theoretic section α of p .

For each $w \in W$, we get an isomorphism $\alpha(w) : E_T \rightarrow wE_T$. We identify $\text{Aut}_T(E_T)$ and T as T is abelian. To α we associate the 2-cocyle

$$(3) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} f_\alpha : W \times W & \rightarrow & T \\ (w_1, w_2) & \mapsto & \alpha(w_2 w_1)^{-1} \circ w_1 \alpha(w_2) \circ \alpha(w_1) \end{array}$$

If we change α by β , we have $f_\beta - f_\alpha = d\theta$, where θ is the map

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \theta : W & \rightarrow & T \\ w & \mapsto & \beta(w)^{-1} \circ \alpha(w). \end{array}$$

Thus the class of f_α in $H^2(W, T)$ is well defined. It is equal to the class of (2) by the natural correspondance between extensions of groups by abelian groups and 2-cocycles.

Let us explicit the map c . Let $\mathfrak{U}$ be a W -invariant covering of Z by affine open sets, that is for $U \in \mathfrak{U}$, $w.U \in \mathfrak{U}$ also. The Cech complexes gives a resolution $\underline{T} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{U}, \underline{T})$ of the sheaf $\underline{T}$ on Z . We deduce from the resolution two short exact sequences

$$(4) \quad 0 \rightarrow Z^0(\mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{U}, \underline{T})) \rightarrow C^0(\mathfrak{U}, \underline{T}) \rightarrow B^1(\mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{U}, \underline{T})) \rightarrow 0$$

$$(5) \quad 0 \rightarrow B^1(\mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{U}, \underline{T})) \rightarrow Z^1(\mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{U}, \underline{T})) \rightarrow H^1(\mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{U}, \underline{T})) \rightarrow 0$$

Let us abbreviate the above groups by Z^0 , C^0 , B^1 , Z^1 et H^1 respectively.

By the twisted action

$$\begin{array}{ccc} W \times C^i(\mathfrak{U}, \underline{T}) & \rightarrow & C^i(\mathfrak{U}, \underline{T}) \\ (w, a_{k,\dots,l}) & \mapsto & w(a_{w^{-1}k,\dots,w^{-1}l})_{k,\dots,l} \end{array}$$

we take the resolution by the complexes

$$(6) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & Z^0 & \longrightarrow & C^0 & \longrightarrow & B^1 \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & C^\cdot(W, Z^0) & \longrightarrow & C^\cdot(W, C^0) & \longrightarrow & C^\cdot(W, B^1) \longrightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

$$(7) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & B^1 & \longrightarrow & Z^1 & \longrightarrow & H^1 \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & C^\cdot(W, B^1) & \longrightarrow & C^\cdot(W, Z^1) & \longrightarrow & C^\cdot(W, H^1) \longrightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

By the definition of the spectral sequence, the map c is the composition of

$$H^0(C^\cdot(W, H^1)) \rightarrow H^1(C^\cdot(W, B^1)) \rightarrow H^2(C^\cdot(W, Z^0)),$$

where the morphisms between the groups are connection morphisms.

For $E_T \in H^1(Z, \underline{T})^W \subset C^0(W, H^1)$, let $a := (a_{i,j}) \in Z^1(\mathcal{C}^\cdot(\mathfrak{U}, \underline{T}))$ be a 1-cocycle antecedent of E_T . Associated to a , there is a *canonical* choice of a 1-cocycle wa antecedent of the principal wE_T bundle for the twisted action of W on E_T . Recall that by definition $(wa)_{i,j} := w(a_{w^{-1}i, w^{-1}j})_{i,j}$. We consider the first terms of 7 and denote the vertical arrows by d

$$(8) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc} & & 0 & & 0 & & 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & B^1(\mathcal{C}^\cdot(\mathfrak{U}, \underline{T}))^W & \longrightarrow & Z^1(\mathcal{C}^\cdot(\mathfrak{U}, \underline{T}))^W & \longrightarrow & H^1(\mathcal{C}^\cdot(\mathfrak{U}, \underline{T}))^W \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & C^0(W, B^1) & \longrightarrow & C^0(W, Z^1) & \longrightarrow & C^0(W, H^1) \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & C^1(W, B^1) & \longrightarrow & C^1(W, Z^1) & \longrightarrow & C^1(W, H^1) \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & C^2(W, B^1) & & & & \end{array}$$

Let us denote $d(a)$ by h . Since $d(E_T) = 0$, we have $h \in C^1(W, B^1)$. Explicitly h is given by

$$(9) \quad \begin{aligned} h = d(a) : W &\rightarrow Z^1(\mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{U}, \underline{T})) \\ w &\mapsto w(a) \circ a^{-1} \end{aligned}$$

where over $u \in U_i \cap U_j$, we define $w(a) \circ a^{-1}(i, j)(u) := w(a)(i, j)(u)a(i, j)(u)^{-1}$. We consider the first terms of (6) and let us denote the vertical arrows by d

$$(10) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc} & & 0 & & 0 & & 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & Z^0(\mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{U}, \underline{T}))^W & \longrightarrow & C^0(\mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{U}, \underline{T}))^W & \longrightarrow & B^1(\mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{U}, \underline{T}))^W \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & C^0(W, Z^0) & \longrightarrow & C^0(W, C^0) & \longrightarrow & C^0(W, B^1) \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & C^1(W, Z^0) & \longrightarrow & C^1(W, C^0) & \longrightarrow & C^1(W, B^1) \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & C^2(W, Z^0) & \longrightarrow & C^2(W, C^0) & \longrightarrow & C^2(W, B^1) \longrightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

We denote by $g \in C^1(W, C^0)$ an antecedent of h . Then for all $w \in W$, we have

$$(11) \quad g(w)(i)g(w)(j)^{-1} = h(w)(i, j)$$

Since $\text{Aut}_T(E_T) = T$ is commutative, by (11) and (9) we deduce the equality

$$w(a)(i, j) = g(w)(i)a(i, j)g(w)(j)^{-1}$$

which means that g explicitly gives an isomorphism $g(w) : E_T \rightarrow wE_T$ in local coordinates for all $w \in W$. Thus g is a section of p of the short exact sequence (2). Since $0 = d(d(a)) = d(h)$ so $k := d(g) \in C^2(W, Z^0)$ is a 2-cocycle. Now since $H^0(\mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{U}, \underline{T})) = \underline{T}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} k : W \times W &\rightarrow T \\ (w_1, w_2) &\mapsto w_1(g(w_2))g(w_1w_2)^{-1}g(w_1) \end{aligned}$$

The map c sends E_T to the class of k in $H^2(W, T)$, by the definition of the spectral sequence. This definition is independant of the choice of the antecedant a and g of E_T and h respectively. Since $g(w) : E_T \rightarrow wE_T$ is an isomorphism, f_g (ref 3) is equal to k because the passage

from g to k and to f_g is the same. Thus a fortiori the image of k and of f_g - the class of Mumford group in $H^2(W, T)$ coincide. $\square$

4. THE FIBER OF $\mathcal{M}_X(N) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_X(W)$

Fix an extension class $\eta = [0 \rightarrow T \rightarrow N \rightarrow W] \in H^2(W, T)$.

Definition 4.1. *One calls the abelianisation map, the map that for a T -bundle $E_T \in H^1(Z, \underline{T})_\eta^W$ associates the quotient F_N of E_N by Φ_{E_T} .*

Lemma 4.2. *The principal bundle quotient of F_N by T is canonically isomorphic to Z .*

Proof. To show that F_N/T is canonically isomorphic to Z it suffices to show that there is a canonical isomorphism of principal W -bundles on Z between $\pi^*(F_N)$ and $\pi^*(Z)$ which, moreover, respects the W -linearisations induced by pull-back. To verify this condition, we shall firstly describe a canonical isomorphism between each principal bundle and $Z \times W$, and then show that, by transport of structure via these isomorphisms, we get the same W -linearisation on $Z \times W$, namely, the canonical W -linearisation.

Since $\pi : Z \rightarrow X$ is a Galois covering of Galois group W , we have canonical isomorphisms

$$\pi^*Z \simeq Z \times_X Z \simeq Z \times W.$$

The W -linearisation on π^*Z induces the canonical W -linearisation on $Z \times W$, that is

$$\begin{aligned} \phi : W \times Z \times W &\rightarrow Z \times W \\ (w, (z, w')) &\mapsto (zw, w^{-1}w'). \end{aligned}$$

We have the natural isomorphisms

$$\pi^*(F_N/T) \rightarrow \pi^*(F_N)/T \rightarrow (E_T \times^T N)/T.$$

By the isomorphism $E_T \times_w^T T/T \simeq Z$ we deduce the following commutatif diagram where all arrows are isomorphisms

$$(12) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} (E_T \times^T N)/T & \longrightarrow & E_T/T \times N/T & \longrightarrow & Z \times W \\ (\nu_w \times^T N)/T \uparrow & & \nu_w/T \times \text{Id} \uparrow & & \text{Id} \uparrow \\ (E_T \times_w^T T \times^T N)/T & \longrightarrow & (E_T \times_w^T T)/T \times N/T & \longrightarrow & Z \times W \end{array}$$

If we extend the upper sequence of (1) by $\times^T N$ and then we quotient by the group T , by the isomorphism

$$E \times_w T/T \simeq Z$$

and by (12) we obtain the following action of W on $Z \times W$: for $(w, \gamma') \in \mathcal{G}^\sigma(Z \times W)$

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} Z \times W & \xrightarrow{\gamma'} & (w^*Z \times W) & \longrightarrow & Z \times W \xrightarrow[\text{Id}]{\cong} Z \times W \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ & & Z & \xrightarrow{w} & Z \end{array}$$

where $\gamma' : Z \rightarrow w^*Z$ is an isomorphism. We remark that this action is constant on the second factor W of $Z \times W$. Thus the action in proposition 2.6 by passing to quotient by T becomes

$$\overline{\phi(E_T)}(w)(z, w') = (zw, w^{-1}w') :$$

which is the canonical W -linearisation. □

Proposition 4.3. *Let $\pi : Z \rightarrow X$ be a Galois cover with Galois group W . Let E be a principal T -bundle on Z . Then E is a principal $\mathcal{G}^\sigma(E)$ -bundle on X .*

Proof. Let us denote the Mumford group $\mathcal{G}^\sigma(E)$ by N .

Consider the natural map of sheaves on Z

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \phi : E & \rightarrow & E \times^T N \\ e & \mapsto & \overline{(e, 1)} \end{array}$$

The bundle $E \times^T N$ admits a canonical W -linearisation by the proposition 2.6 and we denote by $p : E \times^T N \rightarrow E \times^T N/W =: F_N$ the quotient by W . The lemma 4.2 implies that F_N/T is isomorphic to Z . Thus F_N seen as a sheaf on Z is a principal T -bundle. Thus the composition of arrows $p \circ \phi : E \rightarrow F_N$ is a map of sheaves between principal T -bundles on Z . Now $p \circ \phi$ is also T -linear, thus it is also a map of principal T -bundles. So it is an isomorphism since in the category of principal group bundles, morphisms are isomorphisms. Thus E is a fortiori isomorphic to F_N on X . Now F_N is a principal N -bundle, and therefore so is E . □

Lemma 4.4. *Let N be an arbitrary extension of W by T and $\eta \in H^2(W, T)$ denote its class. Let $p : E_N \rightarrow X$ be a principal N -bundle on X and we denote by $\pi : Z \rightarrow X$ its quotient by T . There exists a canonical isomorphism between N and the Mumford group of the principal bundle E_N seen as a principal T -bundle on Z . Moreover via these isomorphisms the natural actions of these groups on E_N can also be identified.*

Proof. For $n \in N$, let $\bar{n}$ denote the class of n in W . For all $n \in N$, for the action of N on the principal N -bundle E_N and of W on the principal W -bundle Z , we have the following cartesian diagram preserving the fibers of $E_N \rightarrow Z \rightarrow X$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} E_N & \xrightarrow{n} & E_N \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ Z & \xrightarrow{\bar{n}} & Z. \end{array}$$

Now E_N is a principal T -bundle on Z . The commutation of the above diagram is equivalent to the fact that E_N is a W -invariant principal T -bundle for the twisted action of the group W . Thus, we conclude that the Mumford group of E_N , seen as a principal T -bundle on Z , is N . From this it also follows that the action of the Mumford group upon E_N gets identified with the usual multiplication action by N . $\square$

Lemma 4.5. *Let $p : E_N \rightarrow X$ be a principal N -bundle on X . We denote by $\pi : Z \rightarrow X$ the quotient by T . The bundle E_N seen as a principal T -bundle on Z is sent by the abelianisation map to E_N seen as a principal N -bundle on X .*

Proof. By the lemma 4.4 we conclude that $E_N \in H^1(Z, \underline{T})^W$ and since its Mumford group is N so $E_N \in H^1(Z, \underline{T})_\eta^W$. Since E_N is a principal N -bundle on X , we have a canonical isomorphism

$$\begin{array}{ccc} E_N \times N & \rightarrow & E_N \times_X E_N \\ (e, n) & \mapsto & (e, en). \end{array}$$

When we quotient $E_N \times N$ by T by putting the relation $(et, n) = (e, tn)$, the last isomorphism implies the relation $(et, etn) = (e, etn)$ on $E_N \times_X E_N$ and we obtain

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \alpha : E_N \times_T N & \rightarrow & Z \times_X E_N \\ \overline{(e, n)} & \mapsto & (\bar{e}, en) \end{array}$$

where $\bar{e}$ is the image of $e \in E_N$ in Z . Recall the action of N on $E_N \times_T N$ of abelianisation

$$\begin{array}{ccc} N \times E_N \times_T N & \rightarrow & E_N \times_T N \\ (n, (e, n')) & \mapsto & (e.n, n^{-1}n'), \end{array}$$

and consider the action of N on $Z \times_X E_N$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} N \times Z \times_X E_N & \rightarrow & Z \times_X E_N \\ (n, (z, e)) & \rightarrow & (z\bar{n}, e). \end{array}$$

Now for these actions for all $n \in N$, we have the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} E_N \times_T N & \xrightarrow{n} & E_N \times_T N \\ \downarrow \alpha & & \downarrow \alpha \\ Z \times_X E_N & \xrightarrow{n} & Z \times_W E_N. \end{array}$$

Thus, E_N - the quotient of $Z \times_X E_N$ by W is isomorphic on X to the quotient of $E_N \times_T N$ by W for the canonical W -linearisation of abelianisation. This last quotient is the image of E_N seen as a principal T -bundle on Z by Δ_θ . Now the assertion follows. $\square$

Corollary 4.6. *Let $\pi : Z \rightarrow X$ be a Galois étale covering. Let $p : E \rightarrow Z$ be a principal T -bundle. The abelianisation map*

$$\Delta_\theta : H^1(Z, \underline{T})_\eta^W \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_X(N)$$

is injective.

Proof. By the proposition 4.3, E is a principal N -bundle on X , where N is the Mumford group. By the lemma 4.5, we have $\Delta_\theta(E) = E$ seen as a principal N -bundle on X . If E and F are principal T -bundles on Z such that $\Delta_\theta(E) = \Delta_\theta(F)$ then $E = F$ as principal N -bundles on X . By the lemma 4.2 we have E/T (resp. F/T) is isomorphic to Z . Thus E and F are isomorphic as principal T -bundles on Z . $\square$

Theorem 4.7. *The fiber of the quotient by T map $q_T : \mathcal{M}_X(N) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_X(W)$ over $\pi : Z \rightarrow X$ is $H^1(Z, \underline{T})_\eta^W$.*

Proof. By the lemma 4.2 the map quotient by T maps $H^1(Z, \underline{T})_\eta^W$ to the fiber of q_T . By the lemma 4.6, this map to the fiber of q_T is injective and by 4.5 it is surjective. $\square$

5. APPLICATIONS

Example 1. *Let $\pi : Z \rightarrow X$ be a covering Galois group D_{2n} the dihedral group of order $2n$. When we quotient Z by $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z} \subset D_{2n}$, we obtain a double covering $p : Y \rightarrow X$. We have the following exact sequence*

$$0 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow D_{2n} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow 0$$

with the action of $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ on $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ by $e(\bar{k}) = \bar{k}$ and $\sigma(\bar{k}) = -\bar{k}$. The curve Z determines a primitive element, denoted as Z again, in $\text{Jac}(Y)[n]$ invariant under the action of $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ switching the two sheets.

Let us suppose that n is odd. We denote by $\text{Prym}(Y/X)$ the Prym variety associated to the étale double cover $p : Y \rightarrow X$. We have the isogeny $\text{Jac}(X) \times \text{Prym}(Y/X) \rightarrow \text{Jac}(Y)$. Let us consider the points

of n -torsion in $\text{Jac}(Y)$, denoted $\text{Jac}(Y)[n]$. Thus the isogeny gives an isomorphism between abelian groups

$$\text{Jac}(X)[n] \times \text{Prym}(Y/X)[n] \rightarrow \text{Jac}(Y)[n],$$

since the kernel of the isogeny is consists of points of 2-torsion in $\text{Jac}(X)$. Now the involution $\sigma : Y \rightarrow Y$ above X operates as $+\text{Id}$ on $\text{Jac}(X)[n]$ and $-\text{Id}$ on $\text{Prym}(Y/X)[n]$. Let $\alpha = (\beta, \gamma) \in \text{Jac}(X)[n] \times \text{Prym}(Y/X)[n]$.

- (1) If $\sigma(\alpha) = \alpha$, then $(\beta, -\gamma) = (\beta, \gamma)$, that is $\gamma = 0$. Thus $\alpha \in \text{Jac}(X)[n]$. Thus the étale covering α is the pull-back on Y of a cyclic étale covering of degree n on X and the associated total covering α is Galois of Galois group $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$.
- (2) If $\sigma(\alpha) = -\alpha$, then $(\beta, -\gamma) = (-\beta, -\gamma)$, that is $\beta = 0$. Thus $\alpha \in \text{Prym}(Y/X)[n]$. Thus α is a cyclic étale covering of order n on Y . The total covering

$$Z \xrightarrow{n:1} Y \xrightarrow{2:1} X$$

gives an étale Galois covering of Galois group D_{2n} .

Proposition 5.1. *The Weyl group W acts transitively on the fibers of the map*

$$\mathcal{M}_X(T) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_X(N)$$

of extension of structure group from T to $N(T)$. The action is generically without fixed points.

Proof. For $E \in H^1(X, \underline{T})$, let us denote $E \times^T N$ by E_N . We consider the short exact sequence of group schemes

$$(13) \quad 0 \rightarrow \text{Aut}_T(E) \rightarrow \text{Aut}_N(E_N) \rightarrow \underline{W} \rightarrow 0$$

We have the associated long exact sequence (we omit the curve X in the notation)

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \rightarrow & H^0(\text{Aut}_T(E)) & \rightarrow & H^0(\text{Aut}_N(E_N)) & \rightarrow & H^0(\underline{W}) \\ & & \xrightarrow{\delta} & & H^1(\text{Aut}_T(E)) & \rightarrow & H^1(\text{Aut}_N(E_N)) \rightarrow H^1(\underline{W}) \end{array}$$

The distinguished elements of the sets $H^1(\text{Aut}_T(E))$ and $H^1(\text{Aut}_N(E_N))$ are E and E_N respectively. Let $\sigma : X \rightarrow E_N/T$ be the section corresponding to E . The group $H^0(\underline{W}) = W$ acts on E in the following way: $\delta(w)E$ is the principal T -bundle obtained by the section $(\sigma, w) : X \rightarrow E_N \times^N N/T \simeq E_N/T$. Thus we have

$$(14) \quad \delta(w)E = E \times^w T.$$

As the sequence gives the fiber on the distinguished element, we deduce that the group W acts transitively on the fiber of E_N , from which the first assertion follows. For a generic $E \in H^1(X, T)$ we have $\text{Stab}(E) = \{e\}$ which implies the second assertion. $\square$

Proposition 5.2. *Let T be a torus of a Lie group. Let $0 \rightarrow T \rightarrow N \rightarrow W \rightarrow 0$ be an extension of W by T . The map extension of structure group from N to W*

$$\mathcal{M}_X(N(T)) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_X(W)$$

is surjective.

Proof. An element $(\pi : Z \rightarrow X) \in \mathcal{M}_X(W)$ corresponds to an étale Galois covering of curves on X . Since X is smooth and π is étale, so Z is smooth. Since X is projective and π is finite, so Z is projective also. By the lemma 7.2 of Lange-Pauly [1], we have that the set $H^1(Z, \underline{T})_\eta^W$ is non-empty where η denotes the extension class of $[0 \rightarrow T \rightarrow N \rightarrow W \rightarrow 0] = \eta \in H^2(W, T)$. By the lemma 4.2 $\pi : Z \rightarrow X$ is the image of the composition of arrows

$$\text{Prym}(\pi, \Lambda)_\eta = H^1(Z, \underline{T})_\eta^W \rightarrow H^1(X, \underline{N}) \rightarrow H^1(X, \underline{W}).$$

$\square$

Example 2. *Let us consider a Weyl group W of type B_n or C_n . It is isomorphic to $(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^n \ltimes \Sigma_n$. By the theorem 4.7, we have*

$$\mathcal{M}_X(W) = \bigsqcup_{(\pi: Z \rightarrow X) \in \mathcal{M}_X(\Sigma_n)} H^1(Z, \underline{T})_\eta^{\Sigma_n}$$

where $T = (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^n$ and η is the extension class

$$[0 \rightarrow (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^n \rightarrow W \rightarrow \Sigma_n \rightarrow 0] = \eta \in H^2(\Sigma_n, (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^n).$$

Now a principal T -bundle on Z is a n -uple $(L_1, \dots, L_n)$ where $L_i \in \text{Jac}(Z)[2]$ are line bundles of order 2. By the equation 14 of the proposition 5.1, we deduce that Σ_n operates by permuting factors. Thus, the L_i are isomorphic to each other. Thus we have

$$H^1(Z, \underline{T})_\eta^W = \{L \in \text{Jac}(Z)[2] \mid [\mathcal{G}^\sigma((L, \dots, L))] = \eta\}$$

Example 3. *The Weyl group D_n is isomorphic to $(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^{n-1} \ltimes \Sigma_n$ where Σ_n acts by permuting the factors on the subgroup of $(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^n$ having an even number of ones. Reasoning as before in the example 2*

we find that

$$\mathcal{M}_X(W) = \begin{cases} \bigsqcup_{(\pi: Z \rightarrow X) \in \mathcal{M}_X(\Sigma_n)} \{L \in \text{Jac}(Z)[2] \mid [\mathcal{G}^\sigma((L, \dots, L))] = \eta\} & \begin{matrix} \mathcal{M}_X(\Sigma_n) & n & \text{odd} \\ & n & \text{even} \end{matrix} \end{cases}$$

REFERENCES

1. Herbert LANGE and Christian PAULY, *Polarizations of Prym varieties for Weyl groups via Abelianization*, to appear in Journal of the European Mathematical Society

CHENNAI MATHEMATICAL INSTITUTE, PLOT NO. H1, SIPCOT IT PARK,
PADUR POST OFFICE, SIRUSERI 603103, INDIA EMAIL: YPANDEY@CMI.AC.IN